# Use of Force Recommendation 23

Clarify Under Which Circumstances Face, Head and Neck Strikes Are Permitted



- Objective
- Recommendation Highlights
- Current Policy
- Gap Analysis
- Industry Trends
- Pros and Cons
- Proposed Action
- Discussion

#### Objective

- *Review Special Order #2018-001, and ensure current policy is corrected to meet best practices.*
- Implement permanent changes as warranted.



### Recommendation Highlights

• Clarify Under Which Circumstances Face, Head and Neck Strikes Are Permitted.

#### **Current Policy**

- DPM 2.1.1 outlines the definition of strikes.
- Special Order provides greater definition on when strikes can be used.



#### Gap Analysis

- Recommendation
- Provided additional direction in policy:
  - Face, Head and Neck Strikes Prohibited - absent active aggression/aggravated active aggression.

- Current Policy
- Strikes: Techniques that have more than a minimal chance of injury. (Examples: Kicks, elbow, palm or knee strikes, and punches). The officer will consider the totality of circumstances in evaluating which area of the body to strike.

\*=

#### Industry Trends

- LVMPD- Aggravated Aggressive
  - Members should only use tactics appropriate to the situation which have been taught by department Defensive Tactics instructors.
- Phoenix PD- Intermediate Force
  - Strikes to the face and head will only be used when reasonable as a means to overcome a violent attack.
- Chandler PD- Intermediate Force
  - Head and Neck Strikes Prohibited absent active aggression/aggravated active aggression.



#### Pros and Cons

*List the reasons for adopting the recommendation* 

- Provide clear direction for officers.
- Adapt into permanent policy.

List the reasons **against** adopting the recommendation

• None



#### Proposed Action

- Approve Policy recommendation as follows:
  - Face, Head and Neck Strikes Prohibited absent active aggression/aggravated active aggression.





## Discussion