

Use of Force Recommendation 17 & 19

Duty to Intervene



Agenda

- Objective
- Recommendation Highlights
- Current Policy
- Gap Analysis
- Industry Trends
- Pros and Cons
- Proposed Action
- Questions



Objective

To support our Strategic Plan of **Community Outreach** through restoring our internal and external communications; focusing on and understanding what's important to our team and community members.



Recommendation Highlights

- "Officers have a <u>duty to intervene</u> if they anticipate or observe the unreasonable, unnecessary, or disproportionate use of force."
- #17 <u>duty to intervene</u> should be defined in policy #DPM 2.1.1 – Use of Force Philosophy
- #19 <u>duty to intervene</u> should be mentioned inside the department <u>Special Order Use of Force</u>

Current Policy

- "Duty to intervene" is not mentioned in Policy
 - However, this does not mean it is not in practice in MPD

Examples;

• Know Your Authority Training (1/15/2019) - Officer/s should also intervene when they realize the interaction between another officer and a subject is rapidly decaying, the officer is encouraging a physical confrontation,



- DPM 1.4.5 Code of Conduct-
 - #60, Fail to report any use of force to a supervisor
 - #61, Unnecessary or improper use of force
 - #65, Fail to notify a supervisor when involved in a matter that would concern the Mesa Police Department

Gap Analysis

- Recommendation by PERF is to add to policy;
 - "Officers have a duty to intervene if they anticipate or observe the unreasonable, unnecessary, or disproportionate use of force."

- Current Policy
 - Missing



Duty to Intervene

Any officer present and observing another officer using force clearly beyond what is objectively reasonable under the circumstances shall, when in a position to do so, safely intercede to prevent the use of such excessive force. Officers shall promptly report these observations to a supervisor.

Industry Trends

| Yes | No | Agencies with "Duty to Intervene" in Policy |
|----------|----|---|
| / | | Las Vegas Metro PD |
| | | MCSO = |
| | | Tucson PD |
| | | Gilbert PD |
| | | Chandler PD |
| | | Phoenix PD |

Pros and Cons

Reasons for adopting the recommendation

- ✓ Clearly defines expectations of members
- ✓ Matches the culture of MPD
- ✓ Fulfills the expectations of our residents



Reasons against adopting the recommendation

None

Proposed Action

Accept PERF's recommendation to add to policy the **Duty to Intervene** and its corresponding definition.





Discussion